

A Scientific Review on Effect of Divorce on Children: A Comprehensive Study

Shalini Sharma¹, Preetam Singh¹, Ruchita Tripathi*²

¹Department of Social Work, AwadeshPratap Singh University, Rewa (M.P.), India

*² Department of Biotechnology, T.R.S College, Rewa (M.P.), India

Introduction

Although humans have been on Earth for millions of years and have had to deal with life challenges for millions of years, it was only in the year 2000 BC that they discovered the need to become spouses and parents. The act of being together as husband and wife is known as "matrimonial action/wedding," and this bond is known as "marriage." [1].

The inheritance is a community group through restricted essential features based on an expressive bond inside a cohesion structure that cannot be passed on to others [2].

An ample family is comprised of a mother, father, and children who enjoy communal affection, admiration, care/harmony, and a sense of fitting. This kind of family is crucial to a youngster's sensitivity, social, besides moral development. To summarise, a family is a usual setting where a child gets entertained extensively [3].

People's attitudes on the family began to evolve over the twentieth century. Parenting has grown to be considered something different from marriage due to this diverse outlook on family. It has been said that technology improvements are to blame for the difference in views on marriage and to having children today vs. in the former [4].

A family created by "two different individuals" can conflict and disagreement as a natural byproduct of being two unique people. It is unrealistic to expect two people with different behavior characters raised in dissimilar surroundings to live in constant synchronization for years because of a family, which is supposed to be a haven from life's challenges. It can sometimes turn into a thundery sea, causing glitches rather than solving them, leading to the spouses' decision to end their association and then divorce [1].

Apart from the fact that widowed person never has to deal with the countless societal and negative psychosomatic imports of being detached or widowed, in this fashion in civilization after the spouse's death, their children reminisce the lifeless with affection and admiration. The widowed partner is far more likely to marry and have a family than a divorced spouse, enabling the child to grow up in a more natural environment [3].

A youngster of an unfaithful mother will certainly not have a whole family. Consequently, adolescents' capability to crush difficulties as they grow up depends on their immediate surroundings' understanding/empathy for their situation [3].

Contemporary families, unlike traditional families, devote all of their financial, emotional, and time and energy to raising their children. Bearing a child after marriage is no longer a woman's default function; instead, it is a moment of personal choice and accountability on her part. It is suggested that societal variations, having a child out of matrimony, or scientific progressions, such as giving birth to a youngster through sperm banks without the consent of the prospective father, have contributed to a shift in attitudes toward wedding and parenting. It is present-day widely accepted that a lady does not have a kid merely since she is conjugal and that having a kid is a personal choice made by the woman rather than a marital ritual. As a result, it's been suggested that parenting no longer has a traditional link to marriage. It is also claimed that the child's emotional worth, which changes from that of outdated relations, has increased even further due to these deviations. The speedy surge in separation rates is extensively regarded as the most significant change in household life in the twentieth century, surpassing all others [4].

In India, divorce and parting are seen as comparatively rare occurrences. While quantitative data are absent, India has a large amount of multidisciplinary literature on many features of marital stability. Many types of literature contextualize the essential components of weddings and the socio-cultural and lawful institutions that inspire the permanence of marriages in India. These reports rely on an extensive, nationally representative survey to show divorce and separation frequency, trends, and variations. Finally, using education as an extensive variable, the study examines the effect of socioeconomic deviations on the longevity of marriages between 1987 and 2007. The data show a mounting tendency in divorce and separation and substantial modifications by location, religion, rural vs. town habitation, and the figure and gender of progenies. Healthier sophisticated women have a longer-lasting marriage, and the difference between less-educated and quality-educated women has grown over time [5].

According to government statistics, India has one of the bottommost separation rates in the globe. Indeed, hard facts and statistical research show that just around 1% of all wedded twosomes in India end their united family life with a dissolution of marriage. Nonetheless, the divorce rate in our country is believed to be far inferior to in several American and European countries. However, it is thought that separation rates have risen significantly in recent years, particularly in metropolitan cities. As a result, it's essential to look at divorce and how it affects individuals and society [6].

Without a doubt, several factors have contributed to the significant increase in divorce rates. Divorces have many significant consequences, one of which, according to sociologists and academics, is the shaking of society's foundations. It is a phenomenon/case that is shaking society's foundations since it primarily impacts youngsters. Consequently, this phenomenon often has a detrimental influence on associations among offspring, their maternities, and parents [3].

Divorce shatters both a woman's and a man's self-esteem and self-respect. Regardless of how awful the marriage was, the emptiness/separation that follows a breakup is the main problem that must be addressed [1].

Separation isn't only something that happens to couples. Because most divorced couples have children, a split-up is a significant life happening for them as well. Divorce is thought to bring about a slew of changes that may be detrimental to the child. Because divorce is not recognized as a practice that harms children, the term "potentially" is used here [4].

Explaining divorce to the child is the first step in this painful journey. Some parents think that alerting their children about their divorce intentions is unnecessary and that the child is younger excuses or supports their actions. Nobody knows why one of their parents disappears as if they never were when they wake up one morning, whether they love them or not. No child should have to cope with such horrible conduct. Such thoughtless actions or behaviors may lead to individuals making up/imagining fictitious things to compensate for or make sense of the situation while also deepening their feelings of rejection [1].

Based on research completed in many regions of the globe, including India, this scientific review investigates the effect of divorce on children.

Materials and Methods

The resources provided for this evaluation are assessment-level indications rather than a systematic search for all critical information. The present study is founded on many investigation assessments conducted in Europe, the United States, Australia, New Zealand, India, and European nations. The systematic evaluation of available work on children's outcomes (Pryor and Rodgers, 2001; Rodgers and Pryor, 1998); examination of involvements and facilities for families of divorcing and separating parents (Hawthorne et al., 2003); and a meta-analysis of studies begun by Amato and Keith (1991) and updated since (Amato, 2001).

Structure of Review

The rest of the review is structured. The first section looks at how family separation affects children's growth and wellbeing. Section 2, on the other hand, looks at the processes and intricate interactions of several elements related to divorce and separation that might explain the effect on children. The evidence about factors is examined in Section 3. Section 4 will look at features that might help or hurt the chances of a happy result after a family breakup.

1. The effects of family disintegration on children

The alterations among offspring whose parents divorce and progenies whose parentage halt with them will be discussed in this section. As well as the extent of the differences, developmental stages where the differences are most noticeable, and whether the age of the divorce takes a reduced or more influence on teenager outcomes.

1.1 Progenies from complete and non-intact families have different results

Paul Amato notes in a study of the scientific literature on the effect of separation how difficult it is to make sense of it since the conclusions of various research range from huge implications to slight impacts to no effect at all [7]. He observes that the gap is because of transformations in sample forms, child ages, outcomes evaluated, and analytic approaches used in different studies. Meta-analysis enables the pooling of research results and the resolution of such discrepancies. A meta-analysis of 92 1980s families [8] and 67 1990s families [9] examined the child's wellbeing in divorced and two-parent homes. They discovered that divorced children scored considerably poorer on various outcomes, including academic performance, conduct, psychosomatic alteration, self-concept, communal capability, and durable health. Rodgers and Pryor [10; 11] undertook an exhaustive assessment of the scientific literature on the impression of separation and separation on child conclusions and discovered that children of separated parents are more likely to: Growing up in a family with a lower income and less desirable dwelling.

- A. Have issues with your behavior.
- B. People have worse academic performance and obtain fewer educational degrees.
- C. Medical issues.
- D. When you're young, you leave school and your home.
- E. At a young age, being sexually active, pregnant, or a parent.
- F. They reported more miserable indications and increased smoldering, drinking, and other drug use during youth and maturity.

1.2 Families' differences and similarities

The data from the Millennium Cohort observation demonstrate the convolutions of parent-child associations. In the observation, 60% of progenies were native to wedded parentages (according to national data), 25% share parents, and 15% share parents not in an inhabited arrangement [12]. Approximately one-third of fathers were not wedded when the adolescent's birth was intimately linked with the mother. Whether or whether the person eventually stirred in together, this group was more likely to visit their kid frequently and contribute financially than other absent dads. Due to the complexities of these connections, superficial comparisons between different groups, such as married vs. single, may obscure much of the variation in the bonds among parentages, predominantly ancestors, and their youngsters [12].

Stepfamilies are not a homogeneous group due to their diverse composition. Children may live with a childless stepparent or in a domestic where both paternities and stepparent brought progenies from past associations into the 'new' inheritance, a circumstance mentioned as complicated or combined families. Progenies might have stepsiblings, half-siblings, or both, and alive measures may vary weekly, with different arrangements for workdays and weekends. Individuals who live in a more complicated household are more likely to have adjustment

difficulties than those in another family, where all offspring are associated with the mother [13-15].

Regarding bad consequences, inequalities across progenies inside family forms may be larger than dissimilarities, indicating that family functioning takes precedence over family structure [16]. Additionally, variability across progenies inside a similar household may be as large, if not longer, than discrepancies among progenies in dissimilar homes [17], suggesting that children's resilience and stress responses vary [18]. Joshi and her colleagues observed that children varied on all their wellbeing displays inside and across family types, the representative that about progenies are extra tough. In contrast, others are more susceptible to negative outcomes [19].

2. Mechanisms of explanation

According to the evidence, there is no straightforward association between family breakup and worse adolescent outcomes [10; 20]. On the contrary, substantial consensus exists that various aspects might cooperate in composite ways to subsidize the detrimental consequences of parental separation on children's welfare. In summary, a breakdown of the family is not a reliable predictor of unfavorable results in progenies; rather, a complex combination of threat and defending aspects may upsurge or diminish the likelihood of severe communal and psychosomatic consequences in the diminutive (and occasionally lengthier) term.

Empathetic family breakup as a procedure relatively than a happening is crucial for determining how pre- and post-separation variables may affect child outcomes and resolving disagreements over causation. As a consequence, we strive to balance the complexities of the data while simultaneously stressing critical variables associated with children's health in the research (or lack thereof). Parental conflict, parenting quality, and parental-youngster interactions, including ties with the tenant parent; maternal mental health; socioeconomic issues; and frequent alterations in the family construction and housing preparations, are only a few of these aspects. These factors have a variety of interactions and are frequently reciprocally strengthening. The economic difficulty, for example, could emerge from a family breakup, which may result in inter-parental skirmish and a depletion of the sensitive and economic resources necessary for effective childrearing.

2.1 Parental discord

The parental clash has been acknowledged as a serious intermediary of children's negative outcomes, even in intact families [21]. Parental conflict is a common occurrence in post-separation and intact households. According to studies [10], children performed worse in intact homes with a high amount of conflict than in single-parent households, suggesting that domestic operational takes a more substantial influence on child consequences than family structure [22] or divorce [23]. According to Hanson's (1999) research, 75% of couples experiencing significant conflict chose not to divorce [24]. Children's consequences of low-

income family functioning should not be disregarded, regardless of family structure. However, research indicates that focusing only on lengthy and unresolved conflict, rather than on conflict in general, has a deleterious effect on progenies, predominantly after youngsters spectator domestic ferocity or feel "caught in the middle" [10]. Consequently, it will be beneficial that paternal divorce may help children exposed to chronic conflict [10; 25], but that progenies adapt less effectively when maternal separation happens in an environment of the minimal parental clash [25-27;10].

Children who are fixated on the long-term conflict between their parents as a consequence of their parents' separation or who blame themselves for it are more prone to adverse outcomes [28-30]. An important symptom is that age and gender alter the influence of clash on children's outcomes, with boys [31] and adolescents doing worse [10]. Children may also be harmed indirectly due to parental conflict, which may impair parents' capacity to parent successfully, leading to strained parent-child connections [32] and an increased risk of anxiety, behavioral issues, and disengagement in children.

2.2 Factors of socioeconomic status

Financial trouble is often a result of family separation and the following singular parent status (usually mothers) [11]. According to Shouls et al. (1999), roughly 70% of single paternities are poor, and other research indicates that sole paternities are additionally likely to be different between working (habitually in low-wage jobs) and receiving welfare assistance [33]. The association between deficiency and youngster outcomes is well recognized: progenies from low-income families perform worse on various indicators, with health and educational accomplishment than children from higher-income families. As a result, rather than family dissolution per se, it has been hypothesized that money deficiency may play an essential role in explanation low child results [11]. The wrong impression of parental departure is meaningfully condensed or perhaps eliminated [16]. Others argue that socioeconomic factors cannot account for all discrepancies [34-36].

Not only does poverty and the stress it generates have an adverse effect on mental and physical health [37], but it may also result in family disintegration. Divorce is more prevalent among parents who come from lower socioeconomic backgrounds [10]. Although the economic differences may directly enhance poor adolescent consequences subsequent parental detachment, it is more likely that poverty-related stress impairs the quality of parenting and parent-child connections, thereby affecting child outcomes significantly. According to the observation, two-parent American families were knowledgeable about unembellished challenging economic conditions, and these financial burdens augmented depression in both mothers and fathers [38].

3. Increasing the likelihood of favorable outcomes for children

We have seen how factors such as parentfight, childrearing, parent-child interactions, the mother's mental health, socioeconomic status, and frequent changes impact children's

development and wellbeing before, during, and after parental separation. The study on what aids children's adaptation to the variations and conversions they encounter due to family separation and what promotes optimistic consequences is reviewed in this section. We will begin, though, by listening to what children have to say about parent divorce and family instability.

3.1 Perspectives of children on parental separation

A rising body of research, mostly commencing the United Kingdom, Australia, and New Zealand, analyses the viewpoints and involvements of divorced children [e.g., 39-45]. Numerous patterns emerge from this study, yet it is necessary to emphasize that youngsters' opinions and responses to maternal separation and divorce differ significantly [11; 40]. While children often express pain, rage, and despair after their parents' divorce, these emotions are not expected [39; 46]. According to a New Zealand study, 44 percent of teenagers had nonaligned or slightly favorable sentiments about the separation. The researchers decided it was likely connected to the desire to flee a home environment characterized by parental conflict [42].

Individual transformations such as oldness, sex, temperament, and family challenges such as parental clash and the strength of parent-child bonds affect how children react to parental parting. Children might take varying perceptions and responses to their surroundings [40].

While children's experiences differ, there is much agreement around what is essential to them during their parents' divorce and the kind of support they may need [11]. Children naturally want to know what happens when parents divorce, but they are frequently kept in the dim. They are predominantly troubled and baffled when their parents suddenly leave without clarification [46; 40]. Communication, or the lack thereof, was highlighted as a critical component by youngsters in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) study: A area of progenies whose parents split thought that no one required to vocalize them about the separation. And few of them felt they had found complete clarification for leaving them puzzled and sad [(39; 15)]. According to children, parents may either exacerbate issues via vague statements or help alleviate them by keeping them conversant and behaving appropriately [10]. Children had no direct contact with the non-resident parent, effective family communication and information of the non-resident parent aided in their emotional wellbeing and educational success after separation [47].

Children want to be informed and be consulted and included in choices concerning their living circumstances [46]. There is evidence that children who felt consulted and whose views were considered fared better after a divorce than those who did not [45]. In studies including children aged 12 to early adulthood in Canada, the United States, and New Zealand, spending an identical period with both parentages was thought the ideal option [46]. While children who spend substantial time in two homes have favorable sentiments [39], there is various proof that they develop less content with time from the youngster's viewpoint [48]. Overall, children wish to

maintain their association with both parentages, and the excellence of their connections with important individuals in their lives is important for them [44].

It is significant to distinguish that variation and conversion are a natural part of any child's development, regardless of familial stability. Neale's study highlights the necessity of understanding the alterations in youngsters' lives and the amount to which divorce may cause or be the least important of these changes [49; 48; 44]. Children who suffer family change as a result of their parent's divorce, separation, or re-partnering, on the other hand, are more likely to undergo other modifications such as changing home, city, or institute [50; 44]. The managing, timing, and speed of change seem to be more critical in youngsters' survival and how progenies are equipped and supported throughout these times [48; 49].

3.2 Divorce's Long-Term Effects on Parent-Child Relationships

Matthijs Kalmijn [55] uses nationally illustrative examination data from the Netherlands to examine the influence of parental separation on adult adolescents' associations with their parents. She uses a within-family design to compare fathers and mothers within the same household. Her method verifies not only prior findings but also adds new data. Divorce is not always associated with a deterioration of the father-daughter relationship; in other circumstances, just the mother-daughter relationship suffers. In general, divorce exacerbates the disconnect between children's relationships with their parents. These consequences are explained in terms of parental reparation on the one hand and enduring spirits of split loyalties among youngsters on the other.

4. Assisting youngsters in adjusting to the breakup of their family

According to years of study, children's adjustment to family breakdown is facilitated by various features: able and caring childrearing, mothers' psychosomatic wellbeing, limited parental clash, cooperative parenting after separation, and social support [51; 52].

4.1 Post-divorce parenting that is cooperative

Children generally benefit from harmonious, equally sympathetic, and non-confrontational co-parenting associations [7], but evidence designates that such partnerships are not the norm after parental separation and divorce. Only about a quarter to a third of parents successfully co-parent after the divorce, characterized by collaborative planning, flexibility, effective communication, and scheduling and activity coordination [18]. Children adjust to family dissolution when their parents can defend them from the stress accompanying separation or divorce and maintain a constructive partnership as parents [10].

Poor adjustment is associated with high levels of a family fight, recurrent interaction with the non-resident maternal, and parental wrath expressed via children [52]. In comparison, defensive issues strongly correlate with at least one parent or caregiver, maternal bluntness, and care [53]. Progenies do not vary from teenagers whose parentages are in low or no fight [53; 13].

Parentages in high clash environments can suppress their clash and evade connecting their progenies in their disputes [53; 13].

A cooperative post-separation association among the parentages is one aspect that backs to prolonged interaction with the non-resident maternal [11]. Trinder et al. [54] reported working with 27 of the 61 families to research children's and parents' experiences of contact after divorce. A diversity of conditions, counting the devotion of both adults and progenies, contract on parental duties, and both parents' interpersonal skills in terms of understanding one another's strengths and limitations, as well as the capacity to compromise. Families with non-functioning contact demonstrated a lack of promise to communication and a high level of the clash. The researchers suggested that properties should be directed on attempts to strengthen relationships after divorce.

4.2 Social assistance

When parents cannot support their children efficiently because of their discomfort, children often seek assistance from extended family members, such as predecessors and friends. According to the ALSPAC study, ancestors and friends were the adolescents' key intimates during separation and present stepfamily engagements [39]. According to this study, progenies connected to their maternal grandparents had fewer alteration problems, albeit the magnitude of the effect is debatable. Grandparents may have more meaningful contact with their well-adjusted grandchildren than they do with their struggling grandchildren. On the other hand, friendships may be a source of support for youngsters whose parents just divorced [44; 50]. Peer support has been associated with the adjustment of children after divorce [51]. Children may be suspicious about whose friends or others they can trust to treat their personal information with respect [44; 40].

Conclusions

Children who grow up in broken households do much shoddier on various measures than progenies who grow up in intact families. Some of these issues persist into adulthood for a variety of reasons. They are less likely to become academically qualified and are more likely to leave home and have a family while still relatively young. On the other hand, the alterations are minimal, with many children remaining unaffected, and the mainstream progenies do not consider long-term involvement repercussions. Parents' separation may benefit certain children, particularly those who have seen or been uncovered to vehemence and abuse or grown up in the house with a high grade of the parental fight.

Specifically, the research found that family operational and certain socioeconomic variables had a more significant influence on adolescents' wellbeing than family structure. According to the study, progenies raised in unbroken and split families have poor results due to financial stress and inadequate or unstable parenting. Consequently, it is vital to avoid defaming certain family kinds, such as single-parent families, and in its place to emphasize the underlying issues that subsidize adolescents' poor results rather than individual family types.

In a recent study, it has been shown that family breakup should be seen as a procedure slightly than as a special occasion. According to the research, several essential variables seem to have a part in and be a sign of family dissolution. One of the most critical issues is the economic difficulty, poor maternal psychological health, and a long-running and unsettled parental disagreement. These features interrelate in complex ways and have an increasing outcome throughout a sequence of events.

They almost always lead the custodial parent (mostly mothers) to become more stressed, which increases the likelihood of negative outcomes for children as a result. Children's negative consequences of family breakup may be mitigated if their mothers have good psychological health and have access to adequate communal and economic assistance. The wellbeing of progenies is dependent on effective communication between parents as well as strong child-parent relationships. Parents who are able to maintain control of their emotions while negotiating and facilitating appropriate post-separation arrangements assist their children in adapting to the dissolution of their family.

The findings highlight the fact that contemporary family life is always shifting and evolving. Divorce and parental separation are becoming more public than they used to be. The first taste of family breakdown often heralds the arrival of other changes, such as revisions to the traditional family construction.

Offspring and parentages are increasingly obligatory to grow the essential managing assistances to deal with the frequent changes in family structure that are becoming more common. It means that public policy must consider the genuineness of family life, implement methods to support parents in their parenting obligations, and reinforce those variables that have been proved to decrease the possibly disadvantageous impacts of parental separation.

References

1. Türkaslan N. Boşanmanın Çocuklar Üzerine Olumsuz Etkileri ve Bunlarla Başetme Yolları (The Negative Impacts of Divorce on Children and the Ways to Cope with Them), Aile ve Toplum Eğitim-Kültür ve Araştırma Dergisi, 2007, 11(3), 99-108.
2. Serin NB, Öztürk S. Anne-Babası Boşanmış 9-13 Yaşlarındaki Çocuklar İle Aynı Yaş Grubundaki Anne-Babası Boşanmamış Çocukların Benlik Saygısı ve Kaygı Düzeyleri (The Relationship Between The Self Esteem and The Anxiety Levels of Children Between The Age 9-13 Whose Parents had Divorced The Same Range of Whom are not Divorced), Ahi Evran Üniversitesi Kırşehir Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi (KEFAD), 2007, 2(8), 117-128.
3. Akyüz E. Boşanmanın Çocuk Üzerindeki Etkileri (Effects of Divorce on Children), <http://dergiler.ankara.edu.tr/dergiler/40/500/5949.pdf>. Erişim tarihi: 18 July 2014.

4. Öndiger N. BoşanmanınÇocukÜzerindekiEtkileri (Effects of Divorce on Children), *PsikiyatrideGüncelYaklaşımlar*, 2013, 5(2),140-161.
5. PremchandDommaraju, Divorce and Separation in India, *Population and Development Review*, 2016, 42(2), 195-223.
6. <https://www.deccanherald.com/brandspot/pr-spot/pitfalls-of-divorce-proceedings-in-india-perfect-statistics-and-the-noisy-current-of-the-ganges-990273.html>.
7. Amato, P. R., The impact of family formation change on the cognitive, social, and emotional wellbeing of the next generation. *The Future of Children* ,2005, 15, 75-96.
8. Amato, P.R. and Keith, B. Parental divorce and the wellbeing of children: A metaanalysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1991, 110, 26-46.
9. Amato, P.R. Children of divorce in the 1990s: an update of the Amato and Keith (1991) meta-analysis. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 2001,15, 355-70.
10. Rodgers, B. and Pryor, J. (1998) *Divorce and Separation: The Outcomes for Children*. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
11. Pryor, J. and Rodgers, B. (2001) *Children in Changing Families*. Oxford: Blackwell.
12. Kiernan, K. and Smith, K., Unmarried parenthood: new insights from the Millennium Cohort Study. *Population Trends*, 2003, 114, 26-33.
13. Hetherington, E.M. (1999) Should We Stay Together for the Sake of the Children? In E.M. Hetherington (ed) *Coping with divorce, Single Parenting, and Remarriage*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
14. Dunn, J. Deater-Deckard, K. Pickering, K. and O'Connor, T. G., Children's adjustment and prosocial behaviour in step- , single- and nonstep-family settings: Findings from a community study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 1998,39, 1083-1095.
15. Dunn, J., The adjustment of children in stepfamilies: lessons from community studies. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 2002, 7, 154-161.
16. Mackay, R., The impact of family structure and family change on child outcomes: A personal reading of the research literature. *Social Policy Journal of New Zealand*, 2005, 24, 111- 133.
17. O'Connor, T.G., Dunn, J., Jenkins, J.M., Pickering, K. and Rasbash, J., Family settings and children's adjustment: differential adjustment within and across families. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 2001, 179, 110-115.
18. Hetherington, E.M. and Stanley-Hagan, M., The adjustment of children with divorced parents: a risk and resiliency perspective. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 1999, 40, 129-140.
19. Joshi, H. (2000) *The Changing Home: Outcomes for Children*. Children 5-16 Research Briefing No. 6. Swindon: ESRC.
20. Mackay, R., The impact of family structure and family change on child outcomes: A personal reading of the research literature. *Social Policy Journal of New Zealand*, 2005, 24, 111- 133.

21. Smith, M. A. and Jenkins, J. M., The effects of marital disharmony on pre-pubertal children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 1991, 15, 625-644.
22. McFarlane, A. H., Bellissimo, A. and Norman, G. R., Family structure, family functioning and adolescent wellbeing: the transcendent influence of parental style. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 1995, 36, 847-864.
23. Amato, P.R. and Keith, B., Parental divorce and the wellbeing of children: A metaanalysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1991, 110, 26-46.
24. Hanson, L., Does parental conflict explain why divorce is negatively associated with child welfare? *Social Forces*, 1999, 77, 1283-1315.
25. Jekielek, S. M., Parental conflict, marital disruption and children's emotional wellbeing. *Social Forces*, 1998, 76, 905-936.
26. Amato, P. R. Loomis, L. S. and Booth A., Parental divorce, marital conflict, and offspring wellbeing during early adulthood. *Social Forces*, 1995, 73, 895-915.
27. Hetherington, M. E., Social support and the adjustment of children in divorced and remarried families, *Childhood*, 2003, 10, 217-236.
28. Johnson, J. R., Campbell, L.E.G., and Mayes, S. S., Latency children in post-separation and divorce disputes. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry*, 1985, 24, 563-574.
29. Jenkins, J.M. and Smith, M.A., Marital disharmony and children's behaviour problems: aspects of a poor marriage that affect children adversely. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 1991, 32, 793-810.
30. Harold, G.T. and Murch, M.A., Interparental conflict and children's adaptation to separation and divorce: implications for Family Law. *Child and Family Law Quarterly*, 2005, 17, 185-205.
31. Bream, V. and Buchanan, A., Distress among children whose separated or divorced parents cannot agree arrangements for them. *British Journal of Social Work*, 2003, 33, 227-238.
32. Grych, J.H. and Fincham, F.D., Marital conflict and children's adjustment: a cognitive-contextual framework, *Psychological Bulletin*, 1990, 2, 267-290.
33. Evans, M. Harkness, S. and Ortiz, R. A. (2004) Lone Parents Cycling between Work and Benefits www.dwp.gov.uk.
34. Elliott, J. and Richards, M. (1991) Parental divorce and the life chances of children. *Family Law*, 481-484.
35. Kiernan, K. (1997) *The Legacy of Parental Divorce: Social, economic and demographic experiences in adulthood*. London: Centre for Analysis of Social Exclusion.
36. Kuh, D. and Maclean, M., Women's childhood experience of parental separation and their subsequent health and socioeconomic status in adulthood. *Journal of Biosocial Science*, 1990, 22, 121-135.
37. Smith, M. (2004) The impact of living in a one-parent family. Paper presented at the One Family Biennial Conference 2004: Child of our time. Dublin, Ireland. 3 November 2004.

38. Conger, R.D. Conger, K.J., Elder, G.H. Lorenz, F.O. et al., A family process model of economic hardship and adjustment of early adolescent boys. *Child Development*, 1992,63, 526- 541.
39. Dunn, J. and Deater-Deckard, K. (2001) *Children's Views of their Changing Families*. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
40. Hogan, D, Halpenny, A.M and Greene, S., Change and continuity after parental separation: Children's experiences of family transitions in Ireland. *Childhood*, 2003, 10, 163-180.
41. Pryor, J. and Daly-Peoples, R., Adolescent attitudes toward living arrangements after divorce. *Child and Family Law Quarterly* , 2001, 13, 2, 197-208.
42. Smith, A.B., Taylor, N.J. Gollop, M., Gaffney, M., Gold, M. and Heneghan, M. (1997) *Access and Other Post-Separation Issues*. Dunedin, New Zealand: Children's Issues Centre.
43. Smart, C. Wade, A. and Neale, B. (2000) *New Childhoods: Children and Co-parenting after Divorce*. Children 5-16 Research Programme Briefing Paper 4. Swindon: ESRC.
44. Wade, A. and Smart, C. (2002) *Facing Family Change: Children's Circumstances, Strategies and Resources*. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
45. Butler, L., Scanlan, L., Robinson, M., Douglas, F. and Murch, M. (2003) *Divorcing Children: Children's Experience of their Parents' Divorce*. London: Jessica Kingsley.
46. Hawthorne, J., Jessop, J., Pryor, J and Richards, M. (2003) *Supporting Children through Family Change. A Review of Interventions and Services for Children of Divorcing and Separating Parents*. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
47. Owusu-Bempah, J., Information about the absent parent as a factor in the wellbeing of children of single-parent families. *International Social Work*, 1995, 38, 253-275.
48. Neale, G. and Flowerdew, J., New structures, new agency: The dynamics of childparent relationships after divorce. *International Journal of Children's Rights*, 2007, 51, 25-4.
49. Flowerdew, J. and Neale, B. Trying to Stay Apace: Children with multiple challenges in their post-divorce family lives, *Childhood*, 2003, 10(2), 147-161.
50. Highest, G. and Jamieson, L. (2007) *Cool for Change. Young People and Family Change. Final Report*. Centre for Research on Families and Relationships, University of Edinburgh.
51. Amato, P.R., The consequences of divorce for adults and children. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, (2000), 62, 1269–1287.
52. Kelly, J.B., Children's living arrangements following separation and divorce: insights from empirical and clinical research. *Family Process*, 2006, 46, 35-42.
53. Kelly, J. B., Changing perspectives on children's adjustment following divorce: A view from the United States. *Childhood*, 2003, 10, 237-254.
54. Trinder, L., Beek, M. and Connolly, J. (2002) *Making Contact: How Parents and Children Negotiate and Experience Contact after Divorce*. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.

55. Matthijs Kalmijn, Long-Term Effects of Divorce on Parent–Child Relationships: Within-Family Comparisons of Fathers and Mothers, *European Sociological Review*, 2013, 29(5), 888–898.